An Incomplete Investigation of Naga Operational Secrecy

By Timothy Robertson, Researcher First Class of Al Daric

Abstract:

It has long been said, in primitive circles, that it is impossible to say anything bad regarding the Keitan Naga.¹ The following documents the various experimental results obtained regarding this myth. Without meaning to brag, this researcher has found no small amount of novel data. Further research is, quite obviously, required but I remain confident that with further funding a wealth of information awaits us.

Experimental Suite 1: Is the effect reproducible outside of the Keitan League's land? If so, is it reproducible outside the Keitan League's population?

<u>Hypothesis</u>: The being generating insults must intend to insult the Naga for the mechanism to be in effect.

<u>Method</u>: Attempt to prove the inverse: generate experiments such that beings who can not possibly intend to insult the Naga would experience the effect.

<u>Insult</u>: The general purpose insult "Fuck You" has been chosen to compare across tests. Designation GPI.

¹ See "An Incomplete Guide to the Flora and Fauna of the Keitan League, by one Julius Wormword

Test1: Control 1.0

<u>Subject</u>: Average Keitan Male, designated KM, captured during last raid. <u>Experiment</u>: Direct KM to say GPI while mentally directing it towards a Naga.

<u>Result</u>: Success. Unknown if mental direction was ACTUALLY towards the Naga due to lack of deep-brain reading capabilities.

Test2: Experiment 1.1

<u>Subject</u>: Average Quotidian Adult, designated QA <u>Experiment</u>: Direct QA to say GPI while mentally directing it towards a

Naga.

<u>Result</u>: Success. Unknown if mental direction was ACTUALLY towards the Naga due to lack of deep-brain reading capabilities.

Test3: Experiment 1.2

<u>Subject</u>: Juvenile Crow, designated JC

<u>Experiment</u>: Direct JC to say GPI while mentally directing it towards a Naga.

<u>Result</u>: Success. Unknown if mental direction was ACTUALLY towards the Naga due to lack of deep-brain reading capabilities.

Test4: Experiment 1.3

<u>Subject</u>: Average Non-sapient Parrot, designated NP

<u>Experiment</u>: Direct NP to say GPI while mentally directing it towards a Naga.

<u>Result</u>: Success. Unlikely that mental direction was ACTUALLY towards the Naga due to lack of Parrot sapience.

Experiment Suite 2: By jove, was the insult not sufficient?

<u>Hypothesis</u>: The directed GPI was not sufficient to activate the charm.

<u>Method</u>: Experiment on self to confirm intent.

Test1: Control 2.0

Subject: This Researcher, designated TR.

Experiment: Say "Fuck You" while mentally directing it to the Naga.

Result: Success.

Test 2: Experiment 2.1

Subject: This Researcher, designated TR.

Experiment: Say "Fuck You, Naga"

Result: Success.

Test 3: Experiment 2.2

Subject: This Researcher, designated TR.

Experiment: [Data lost]

Result: Inability to state phrase. Experiment text was lost due to researcher

incompetence + a small unrelated fire.

Experiment Suite 3: Is the effect reproducible outside of the Keitan League's land? If so, is it reproducible outside the Keitan League's population? (This time with a better insult.)

<u>Hypothesis</u>: The being generating insults must intend to insult the Naga for the mechanism to be in effect.

<u>Method</u>: Attempt to prove the inverse: generate experiments such that beings who can not possibly intend to insult the Naga would experience the effect.

<u>Insult</u>: The general purpose insult [Data lost] has been chosen to compare across tests. Designation GPI2.

Test1: Control 3.0

<u>Subject</u>: Average Keitan Male, designated KM, captured during last raid. Experiment: Direct KM to say GPI2 while mentally directing it towards a Naga.

<u>Result</u>: Was unable to communicate to KM what [Data lost] was due to TR forgetfulness. Experiment inconclusive.

Test2: Experiment 3.1

<u>Subject</u>: Average Quotidian Adult, designated QA <u>Experiment</u>: Direct QA to say GPI2 while mentally directing it towards a Naga.

<u>Result</u>: Was unable to communicate to QA what [Data lost] was due to inconvenient unrelated loud noise. Experiment inconclusive.

Test3: Experiment 3.2

Subject: Juvenile Crow, designated JC

<u>Experiment</u>: Direct JC to say GPI2 while mentally directing it towards a Naga.

<u>Result</u>: Was unable to communicate to JC what [Data lost] was due to TR developing mild cough. Experiment inconclusive.

Experiment Suite 4: Is the effect reproducible outside of the Keitan League's land? If so, is it reproducible outside the Keitan League's population? (This time with a better insult and instructions.)

<u>Hypothesis</u>: The being generating insults must intend to insult the Naga for the mechanism to be in effect.

<u>Method</u>: Attempt to prove the inverse: generate experiments such that beings who can not possibly intend to insult the Naga would experience the effect.

<u>Insult</u>: [data lost]. Designation [data lost]. Provide example insult directed towards Research Assistant (designation RA): "RA sucks". Example insult designation XI. Insult instructed to be like XI but directed towards Naga.

Test1: Control 4.0

<u>Subject</u>: Average Keitan Male, designated KM, captured during last raid. <u>Experiment</u>: Direct KM to say GPI2 [Researcher note: Can find no records or memory of GPI2] (providing XI) while mentally directing it towards a Naga.

Result: Subject was unable to state GPI2 (providing XI)

Test2: Experiment 4.1

<u>Subject</u>: Average Quotidian Adult, designated QA

Experiment: Direct QA to say GPI2 (providing XI) while mentally directing

it towards [data lost].

<u>Result</u>:[data lost]

Test3: Experiment 4.2

Subject: [data lost]

Experiment: [data lost]

<u>Result</u>: Who's JC? Why do my notes mention them?